**Evolving market resource co-ordination: Tie-breaker provisions**

# Consultation Questions

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Submission Date:** |  |
| **Organisation:** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question 1:** Do you support our proposed tie-breaker solution: dispatch in proportion to offers? Do you have any feedback on any aspect of it or our consideration of it? | |
|  |  |
| **Question 2:** If you do not support our proposed tie-breaker solution, which alternative option would you prefer? If so, please describe the alternative and why you prefer it. | |
|  |  |
| **Question 3:** Are there alternative options we have not identified which we should consider? | |
|  |  |
| **Question 4:** Do you agree with our qualitative assessment that the benefits of the proposal can reasonably be expected to outweigh the costs? | |
|  |  |
| **Question 5:** Do you agree it is appropriate to rely on qualitative evaluation of the costs and benefits of the proposed amendments? If not, what information, evidence etc can you provide and/or what methods would you recommend to quantify the costs and benefits? | |
|  |  |
| **Question 6:** Do you think we should progress a proposal to incorporate information about any tie-breaker solution we decide to adopt into the Policy Statement, to enhance certainty and transparency? | |
|  |  |
| **Any other comments:** | |
|  |  |